

CHARLES ELMORE GROPLEY

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1945.

No. 967

THE AUTOCAR SALES AND SERVICE COMPANY,

Petitioner,


vs.

A. G. LEONARD, F. H. PRINCE, and D. H. REIMERS, NOT AS INDIVIDUALS, BUT AS TRUSTEES OF THE CENTRAL MANUFACTURING DISTRICT,

Respondents.

BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

Harold A. Smith,
George B. Christensen,
Edward J. Wendrow,
38 South Dearborn Street,
Chicago 3, Illinois,
Counsel for Respondents.



SUBJECT INDEX.

PA	GE
Introductory Statement	1
Argument	3
The sole question involved is one of state law Even if the assumption be made that this case might have involved a Federal question, petitioner did not properly raise or preserve it in	5
the State Courts	J
TABLE OF CASES CITED.	
Erie Railroad v. Tompkins, 304 U. S. 64, 78	4
Gates v. Goodloe, 101 U. S. 612	7
Godehaux Co. v. Estopinal, 251 U. S. 179, 181	6
Iowa v. Rood, 187 U. S. 87, 92	3
McMillen v. Ferrum Mining Co., 197 U. S. 343, 347	6
Oxley Stave Co. v. Butler County, 166 U. S. 648, 653, 655	6
United States v. General Motors Corporation, 323 U. S. 373	4
United States v. Petty Motor Company (decided February 25, 1946)	4
STATUTES CITED.	
Second War Powers Act (Ch. 199, Title II, Sec. 201, 56 Stat. 177, Title 50 USCA App. Sec. 632)	3
Judicial Code, Sec. 237(b)	3

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1945.

No. 967.

THE AUTOCAR SALES AND SERVICE COMPANY,
Petitioner,

vs.

A. G. LEONARD, F. H. PRINCE, AND D. H. REIMERS, NOT AS INDIVIDUALS, BUT AS TRUSTEES OF THE CENTRAL MANUFACTURING DISTRICT,

Respondents.

BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT:

Certiorari to review the judgment of the Supreme Court of Illinois should be denied because:

(1) This Court lacks jurisdiction, no Federal question being involved.

The question as to the effect on the incidents of the landlord-tenant relationship, of the temporary taking and appropriation by the Government acting under the Second War Powers Act of the use of demised premises, is one of local law.

The Illinois Supreme Court has only applied its rule that where the condemnation merely carves out of a